

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SONIA RENAZCO

Plaintiff(s),

v.

NATIONAL CITY BANK, and

DOES 1 through 100,

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. CV-07-5947 PJH

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**


- ☒ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Private Mediation

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)  
☒ other requested deadline June 30, 2008

Dated: February 21, 2008

Dated: February 21, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff

SONIA RENAZCO

/S/ Catherine S. Nasser

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant

NATIONAL CITY BANK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration
- ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- ☐ Mediation
- ☒ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- ☐ 90 days from the date of this order.
- ☒ other June 30, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February \_\_, 2008

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
JUDGE  
Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton